1 Andrew H. Wilson, SBN #063209 WILSON, RYAN & CAMPILONGO 2 115 Sansome St., 4th Floor San Francisco, California 94104 3 (415) 391-3900 Telefax: (415) 954-0938 RECEIVED Laurie J. Bartilson, SBN #139220 5 SEP 28 1995 MOXON & BARTILSON 6255 Sunset Boulevard, Suite 2000 Hollywood, CA 90028 **HUB LAW OFFICES** (213) 960-1936 7 Telefax: (213) 953-3351 8 Attorneys for Plaintiff CHURCH OF SCIENTOLOGY INTERNATIONAL 10 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 11 FOR THE COUNTY OF MARIN 12 13 CHURCH OF SCIENTOLOGY) CASE NO. 157 680 INTERNATIONAL, a California not-14 for-profit religious corporation,) [CONSOLIDATED] 15 DECLARATION OF LAURIE J. BARTILSON IN SUPPORT OF Plaintiff, 16 CHURCH OF SCIENTOLOGY VS. INTERNATIONAL'S OBJECTIONS 17 TO EVIDENCE FILED BY GERALD ARMSTRONG IN OPPOSITION TO 18 PENDING SUMMARY GERALD ARMSTRONG; DOES 1 through ADJUDICATION MOTIONS; 19 25, inclusive, REQUEST FOR SANCTIONS 20 DATE: September 29, 1995 TIME: 9:00 a.m. 21 DEPT: 1 22 TRIAL DATE: Vacated Defendants. 23 24 I, LAURIE J. BARTILSON, hereby declare: 25 I am a member of the law firm of Moxon & Bartilson and am an attorney admitted to practice in the State of California. 27 My firm represents plaintiff Church of Scientology International

("Church") in the instant case. I have personal knowledge of

28

the matters specified in this declaration and, if called upon to testify on such matters, would and could do so competently.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 2. On September 18, 1995, my co-counsel, Andy Wilson, called to tell me that he had received ten volumes of evidence from Gerald Armstrong in opposition to the pending summary adjudication motions. He also told me that Armstrong had apparently again filed documents which were copies or recreations of confidential Church scripture. Because I am familiar with the materials that Armstrong had filed, and Mr. Wilson is not, at my client's request, I then flew to San Francisco to examine the evidence submitted by Armstrong. I discovered that he had, indeed, re-filed previously stricken confidential materials, and I spent much of the night preparing an ex parte application to strike or seal them. I spent the next two days in Marin County, until the exhibits were placed under seal.
- 3. Armstrong did not initially serve a copy of his evidence on my office. In fact, both he and Mr. Greene, his attorney, told me that they did not intend to do so. However, on September 20, I received a set of exhibits that had been mailed to me on September 19, according to the postmark. The set of exhibits that I received were obviously different from the set that had been served on Mr. Wilson, in that they did not make as tall a stack when the two piles were placed next to each other.
- 4. I instructed a paralegal to compare the two sets of evidence. After he had done so, I reviewed his work. We found that one entire volume of exhibits with thirty-four different documents was included in the set served on Mr. Wilson, but not

in the set served on me. In addition, other miscellaneous exhibits in the Wilson set which were not included in my set.

5. My normal billing rate is \$200 per hour, and I have expended 10 hours in reviewing these documents and preparing this set of evidentiary objections.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this 26th of September, 1995, at Los Angeles,

California.

Laurie A. Bartilson

FILED
Sept 13, 1995
at 5:45 pm
Kenneth J. Murphy, Clerk
Clinicionali, Onla

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CHIC WESTERN DIVISION

PROCTER & GAMBLE CO..

Plaintiff,

V.

C-1-94-735

BANKERS TRUST COMPANY, et al., Defendants.

ORDER

The Court having been advised that certain documents in this case previously filed under seal and subject to a Protoctivo Order which prohibited disclosure without the consent of the parties or the Court, have been obtained by The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., doing business as Business Week, in a manner not authorized by the Protective Order, and it appearing that the parties will suffer irreparable harm if the contents of these documents are released at this time, it is ORDERED that The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., doing business as Business Week, and all persons in privity with The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc. and such other persons that have notice of this Order, are prohibited from any disclosure of documents filed under seal, or the contents thereof, without the prior consent of this Court.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

John Feikens United States District Judge

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT (ITRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORI

Initials of Deputy Clerk .

| CV 91-6426 HLH | S — GENERAL | Au Date | gust //, 199 |) 5 |
|---|--|--|--|----------------|
| Church of Scientology Internation | nal v. Steve | en Fishman et | al. | |
| OCKET ENTRY | | | | |
| RESENT: | | · | | |
| HON. HARRY L. HUPP | , JUDGE | | | |
| Milli Borgarding | <u></u> | Not Report | | |
| Deputy Clerk | | Cour | t Reporter | |
| TTORNEYS PRESENT FOR PLAINTIFFS: | ATTORNE | YS PRESENT FO | OR DEFENDA! | NTS: |
| None Present | None | Present | Ti barr desas | 4 15 G G |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| In Chambers Proceedings | S: | | | Same. |
| ROCEEDINGS: Order Sealing Files Pen | ding Court's | s Final Deter | mination 📜 | |
| | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | |
| | | | | 31 |
| ORDER (also, if applicable, findings and memoral Scientology's motion to seal spectourt by Order of the Ninth Circuit. Submission. Pending a final order by target aforementioned motion to seal, all to ordered to be sealed. | rified documents. This Court l | ents was remained taken the regarding | anded to th matter und Scientology | is La er z: |
| | | N | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| | | | | |
| I hereby attest and a second of SLIGISS Let the foregoing doment in a sett of correct copy of the origin on the in and coince, and in my a second of the in | I HEREBY CERTIFY THE FIRST CLASS MAIL PO (OR PARTIES) AT THE RECORD, IN THIS ACTU | AT THIS DOCUMENT WAS SERVED BY STACE PREPAID, TO ALL COUNSEL IR RESPECTIVE, MOST RECENT, ADD CON, ON THIS DATE. | News of | |
| CENTRAL DISTRIC. OF CALLIDANIA | DATED: | WOLL | | |
| Marily Martingon | DEPUTY CLERK | | | |
| 6223 | | | affect the company | |
| | | | | - 1 a |

PROOF OF SERVICE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA)

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES)

I am employed in the County of California, State of California. I am over the age of eighteen (18) years and not a party to the within action. My business address is 6255 Sunset Boulevar, Suite 2000, Hollywood, CA 90028.

On September 26, 1995, I served the foregoing document described as CHURCH OF SCIENTOLOGY INTERNATIONAL'S OBJECTIONS TO ARMSTRONG'S EVIDENCE IN SUPPORT OF OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFF'S PENDING MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY ADJUDICATION; MOTION TO STRIKE EVIDENCE; AND REQUEST FOR SANCTIONS FROM GERALD ARMSTRONG AND FORD GREENE [C.C.P. § 437c(i)]; CHURCH OF SCIENTOLOGY INTERNATIONAL'S OBJECTIONS TO ARMSTRONG'S EVIDENCE IN SUPPORT OF OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFF'S PENDING MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY ADJUDICATION; MOTION TO STRIKE EVIDENCE; AND REQUEST FOR SANCTIONS AGAINST GERALD ARMSTRONG AND FORD GREENE [C.C.P. § 437c(i)]; REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF'S OBJECTIONS TO ARMSTRONG'S EVIDENCE IN OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFF'S PENDING MOTIONS FOR SUMMRY ADJUDICATION on interested parties in this action,

- [] by placing the true copies thereof in sealed envelopes as stated on the attached mailing list;
- [X] by placing [] the original [X] true copies
 thereof in sealed envelopes addressed as follows:

GERALD ARMSTRONG
715 Sir Francis Drake Blvd.
San Anselmo, CA 94960-1949

MICHAEL WALTON
700 Larkspur Landing Circle
Suite 120
Larkspur, CA 9493

[X] BY MAIL

[] *I deposited such envelope in the mail at Los Angeles, California. The envelope was mailed with postage thereon fully prepaid.

[X] As follows: I am "readily familiar" with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with U.S. postal service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid at Los Angeles, California in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing an affidavit.

| Executed | on | | at | | | California. |
|----------|----|--|----|--|--|-------------|
|----------|----|--|----|--|--|-------------|

[] **(BY PERSONAL SERVICE) I delivered such envelopes by hand to the offices of the addressees.

Executed on September 26, 1995, at Los Angeles, California.

- [X] (State) I declare under penalty of the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.
- [] (Federal) I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this court at whose direction the service was made.

Print or Type Name

signature

- * (By Mail, signature must be of person depositing envelope in mail slot, box or bag)
- ** (For personal service signature must be that of messenger)